

QSP Set I Solution SEIT AMKIV

d1. a

X	Y	R ₁	R ₂	d _i	d _i ²
40	46	1	6	-2	4
42	43	5	3.5	1.5	2.25
45	44	6	5	1	1
35	39	1	1	0	0
36	40	2	2	0	0
39	43	3	3.5	-1.5	2.25
					7.5

$$R = \rho = 1 - \frac{6 \left[\sum d_i^2 + \frac{1}{12} n_1(n_1^2 - 1) \right]}{n(n^2 - 1)}$$

$$= 1 - \frac{6(7.5 + 1.5)}{6(36 - 1)} = .771$$

b. $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx = 1$ pdf.

$$k \int_0^1 (x - x^2) dx = 1 \quad k = 6.$$

$$\text{Mean} = E(X) = \int_0^1 x f(x) dx$$

$$= 6 \int_0^1 (x^2 - x^3) dx = 1/2$$

$$E(X^2) = \int_0^1 x^2 f(x) dx$$

$$= 6 \int_0^1 (x^3 - x^4) dx = 3/10.$$

$$V(X) = E(X^2) - [E(X)]^2 = \frac{3}{10} - \frac{1}{4} = 1/20.$$

c. $n = 50$

$$H_0: \mu = 1800$$

$$H_1: \mu > 1800. \text{ One-tailed test}$$

$$Z_{\alpha} \text{ at } 1\% = 2.33.$$

$$Z_0 = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} = \frac{1850 - 1800}{100/\sqrt{50}} = 3.54$$

$$\therefore Z > Z_{\alpha}. H_0 \text{ Rejected}$$

ie we may support the claim of increase in breaking strength.

Sl. d.

	Opinion		Total
	Favoured	Not favoured	
UG	290	110	400
PG	310	90	400
	600	200	800 = N.

Sl. d.

Under H_0 : Opinion is ind of the level of classes

O_i	E_i	$(O_i - E_i)^2 / E_i$
290	300	.33
310	300	0.33
110	100	1.00
90	100	1.00
		2.66

Test statistic

$$\chi^2 = \sum \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}$$

$$= 2.66$$

Tab. value of $\chi^2_{(r-1)(c-1)}$

$\chi^2_{1 \text{ d.f. at } 5\% \text{ l.w.}} = 3.84$

$\therefore \text{Cal } \chi^2 < \text{Tab } \chi^2$

Accept H_0

There is no association between opinion and level of classes.

e.

			G	6	-2	3	0	0	
CB	Basic var B	rhs bi.		x_1	x_2	x_3	Δ_1	Δ_2	θ
0	Δ_1	2		2	-1	2	1	0	$2/2 = 1 \rightarrow$
0	Δ_2	4		1	0	4	0	1	$4/1 = 4$
$Z_j = CB_j$		0		0	0	0	0	0	
$\Delta_j = G_j - b_j$				6	-2	3	0	0	
$R_1/2$	6	x_1	1	1	-1/2	1	1/2	0	-
$R_2 - R_1(w)$	0	Δ_2	3	0	1/2	3	-1/2	1	$6 \rightarrow$
$Z_j = CB_j$				6	-3	6	3	0	
$\Delta_j = G_j - b_j$				0	+1	-3	-3	0	
$R_1 + \frac{1}{2} R_2(w)$	6	x_1	4	1	0	4	0	1	
$2R_1$	-2	x_2	6	0	1	6	-1	2	
$Z_j = CB_j$				6	-2	12	2	2	
Δ_j				0	0	-12	-2	-2	

$\therefore$ all Δ_j 's ≥ 0 , $b_i \geq 0$. provides optimal soln

$x_1 = 4$ $x_2 = 6$ $\text{Max } Z = 6(4) + 2(6) = 12$

Q1. #

Lagrangian function

$$L(x_1, x_2, \lambda) = (4x_1 + 8x_2 - x_1^2 - x_2^2) - \lambda(x_1 + x_2 - 4)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_1} = 4 - 2x_1 - \lambda$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_2} = 8 - 2x_2 - \lambda$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} = -(x_1 + x_2 - 4)$$

Solve the eqn $\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_1} = 0$, $\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_2} = 0$, $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} = 0$

$$4 - 2x_1 - \lambda = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$8 - 2x_2 - \lambda = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$x_1 + x_2 - 4 = 0 \quad (3) \quad \lambda = 2 \quad x_1 = 1 \quad x_2 = 3$$

Adding 1 & 2

$$12 - 4(x_1 + x_2) - 2\lambda = 0$$

$$12 - 4(4) + 2\lambda = 0$$

$$x_0 = 1, 3$$

$$\Delta = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_2} \\ \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial x_1^2} - \lambda \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial x_1^2} & \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} - \lambda \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} \\ \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_2} & \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} - \lambda \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} & \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial x_2^2} - \lambda \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial x_2^2} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -2 \end{vmatrix} = 4$$

Since Δ is +ve x_0 is maxima.

$$Z_{\max} = 18$$

Q2. a. $n=7$ $\Sigma x=56$ $\Sigma x^2=476$, $\Sigma y=99$ $\Sigma y^2=1427$
 $\Sigma xy=811$

Regression lines Y on X

$$y = a + bx$$

$$a = 8.7143$$

$$b = 0.6786$$

$$y = 8.7143 + 0.6786x$$

Regression lines X on Y

$$x = a + by$$

$$a = -2.0053$$

$$b = 0.7074$$

$$x = -2.0053 + 0.7074y$$

$$r = \sqrt{0.6786 \times 0.7074} = 0.6928$$

Q2.d

$$Q2. b. \quad M_X(t) = E[e^{tx}]$$

$$= \sum e^{tx} p(x)$$

$$= \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{3}e^t + \frac{1}{3}e^{2t} + \frac{1}{6}e^{3t}$$

$$\mu_r' = \frac{\partial^r}{\partial t^r} M_X(t) \Big|_{t=0}$$

$$\mu_1' = 3/2, \quad \mu_2' = 19/2, \quad \mu_3' = 15/2, \quad \mu_4' = 115/6$$

$$\mu_1 = 0$$

$$\mu_2 = \mu_2' - \mu_1'^2 = 11/2$$

$$\mu_3 = \mu_3' - 3\mu_2'\mu_1' + 2\mu_1'^3 = 0$$

$$\mu_4 = \mu_4' - 6\mu_3'\mu_1' + 4\mu_2'\mu_1'^2 + 3\mu_1'^4 = 1.729$$

Q2.c.

X	$d_1 = x_i - 17$	y	$d_2 = y_i - 16$	d_1^2	d_2^2
19	2	15	-1	4	1
17	0	14	-2	0	4
15	-2	15	-1	4	1
21	4	19	3	16	9
16	-1	15	-1	1	1
18	1	18	2	1	4
16	-1	16	0	1	0
14	-3	-	-	9	-
$\sum x_i = 136$	0		0	36	20

$$\bar{x} = \frac{136}{8} = 17$$

$$s_1^2 = \frac{1}{n_1} \sum d_1^2 - \left(\frac{1}{n_1} \sum d_1 \right)^2 = 4.5$$

$$s_1 = 2.1213$$

$$\bar{y} = \frac{112}{7} = 16$$

$$s_2^2 = \frac{1}{n_2} \sum d_2^2 - \left(\frac{1}{n_2} \sum d_2 \right)^2 = 2.8571$$

$$s_2 = 1.6903$$

Under H_0 : $\bar{x}_1 = \bar{x}_2$

H_1 : $\bar{x}_1 \neq \bar{x}_2$ Two tailed

$$t = \frac{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2}{\hat{s} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}}}$$

$$= 0.93$$

$$\hat{s} = \sqrt{\frac{n_1 s_1^2 + n_2 s_2^2}{n_1 + n_2 - 2}}$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{8 \times 4.5 + 7 \times 2.8571}{8+7-2}}$$

$$t_{tab} = 2.16$$

$$\therefore \text{Calc } t < t_{tab} \quad = \sqrt{4.3076} = 2.0755$$

Accept H_0 .

Q2.d Mean = $\bar{X} = \frac{\sum f_i X_i}{N} = \frac{432}{432} \frac{299}{432} = 0.69$

X	f	xf_i	O_i
0	223	0	217
1	142	142	149
2	48	96	52
3	15	45	12
4	4	16	2
5	0	0	0
	432	299	432

Under H_0 fit is good

Test Statistic $\chi^2 = \sum \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i} = 5.99$

dof = 6 - 1 - 1 - 2 = 2 at 5% LOS

$\chi^2_{Tab} = 5.99$

∴ Cal $\chi^2 < Tab \chi^2$ Accept H_0 .

Fit is good.

e. Writing in standard form and adding surplus, slack, and artificial var.

Max $Z^* = -Z = -2x_1 - x_2 - M_1A_1 + 0A_1 - M_2A_2 + 0A_2$

s.t.

$3x_1 + x_2 + A_1 = +3$

$4x_1 + 3x_2 - A_1 + A_2 = 6$

$x_1 + 2x_2 + A_2 = 3.$

$x_1, x_2, S_1, A_2 \geq 0$

G			-2	-1	0	0	-M	-M	0
CB	Basic var	rhs bi	x_1	x_2	Δ_1	Δ_2	A_1	A_2	
-M	A_1	3	<u>3</u>	1	0	0	1	0	$3/3 = 1 \rightarrow$
-M	A_2	6	4	3	-1	0	0	1	$6/4 = 3/2$
0	Δ_2	3	1	2	0	1	0	0	$3/1 = 3$
$Z_j = CB_j$			-7M	-4M	M	0	-M	-M	
$\Delta_j = G_j - Z_j$			-2+7M	-1+4M	-M	0	0	0	
$R_1/3$	-2	x_1	1	1/3	0	0	∞	0	3
$R_2 - 4R_1$	-M	A_2	4 2	<u>5</u> 3	-1	0	∞	1	$6/5 \rightarrow$
$R_3 - R_1$	0	Δ_2	4 2	7/3	0	1	∞	0	6/5
$Z_j = CB_j$			-2	$-\frac{2}{3} - \frac{5}{3}M$	M	0	∞	-M	
$\Delta_j = G_j - Z_j$			0	$\frac{1}{3} + \frac{5}{3}M$	-M	0	∞	0	
$R_1 - \frac{1}{3}R_2$	-2	x_1	3/5	0	1/5	0	—	—	
$3R_2/5$	-1	x_2	6/5	0	-3/5	0	—	—	
$6 - \frac{5}{3}R_2$	0	Δ_2	0	0	1	1	—	—	
Z_j			-2	-1	1/5	0	—	—	
$\Delta_j = G_j - Z_j$			0	0	-1/5	0	—	—	

$\therefore$ all $\Delta_j \leq 0$. and $b_i \geq 0 \forall i$
Provides optimal solⁿ

$$x_1 = 3/5 \quad x_2 = 6/5 \quad \Delta_2 = \infty$$

$$\text{Max } Z^* = -12/5$$

$$\text{Min } Z = 12/5$$

Qf. Rewrite the NLP as

$$f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + x_2^2$$

$$h_1(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + x_2 - 4$$

$$h_2(x_1, x_2) = 2x_1 + x_2 - 5$$

Kuhn-Tucker conditions are

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} - \lambda_1 \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x_1} - \lambda_2 \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial x_1} = 0$$

$$2x_1 - \lambda_1 - 2\lambda_2 = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} - \lambda_1 \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x_2} - \lambda_2 \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial x_2} = 0$$

$$2x_2 - \lambda_2 - \lambda_1 = 0 \quad (2)$$

$\frac{\partial h}{\partial \lambda}$

$$\lambda_1 (x_1 + x_2 - 4) = 0 \quad (3)$$

$$\lambda_2 (2x_1 + x_2 - 5) = 0 \quad (4)$$

$$x_1 + x_2 - 4 \leq 0 \quad (5)$$

$$2x_1 + x_2 - 5 \leq 0 \quad (6)$$

$$x_1, x_2 \geq 0 \quad (7)$$

$$\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \geq 0 \quad (8)$$

Now depending upon λ_1, λ_2 following cases arise.

1. Case $\lambda_1 = 0$ $\lambda_2 = 0$.

From eq 1, 2

$$2x_1 = 0 \Rightarrow \boxed{x_1 = 0}, \quad 2x_2 = 0 \Rightarrow \boxed{x_2 = 0}$$

Provides trivial solⁿ.

2. If $\lambda_1 = 0$ & $\lambda_2 \neq 0$.

From eq 1, 2.

$$2x_1 = 2\lambda_2 \quad 2x_2 = \lambda_2$$

$$2x_1 + x_2 - 5 = 0 \quad \text{from (4)}$$

$$\Rightarrow 2\lambda_2 + \frac{\lambda_2}{2} - 5 = 0 \quad \lambda_2 = 5$$

$$\therefore x_1 = 5 \quad x_2 = 5/2$$

But condition (5) & (6) are not satisfying

Hence reject these values.

3. If $\lambda_1 \neq 0$ & $\lambda_2 = 0$

From eqⁿ (1) & (2); $2x_1 = \lambda_1$, $2x_2 = \lambda_1$

$$\therefore x_1 = x_2$$

From (3) $x_1 + x_2 - 4 = 0$.

Put $x_1 = x_2$

$$2x_1 - 4 = 0$$

$$x_1 = 2$$

$$x_2 = 2$$

$$\lambda_1 = 4$$

Satisfying condition 5, 6, 7, 8.

$$Z_{max} = 0.$$

Case 4 : $\lambda_1 \neq 0, \lambda_2 \neq 0$.

From (3) and (4)

$$x_1 + x_2 - 4 = 0.$$

$$2x_1 + x_2 - 5 = 0.$$

Solving $x_1 = 1, x_2 = 3$.

From 1 & 2. $\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 = 2$

$$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 0$$

$$\lambda_1 = 10, \lambda_2 = -4.$$

$\lambda_1 \geq 0$ but $\lambda_2 < 0$ Rejecting these values.

$\therefore$ Redg. solution $x_1 = 2, x_2 = 2, Z_{\max} = 8$.

Q3 a.

X	$X - \bar{X} = X'$	X'^2	Y	$Y' = Y - \bar{Y}$	Y'^2	$X'Y'$
28	-8	64	23	-8	64	64
45	9	81	34	3	9	27
40	4	16	33	2	4	8
38	2	4	34	3	9	6
35	-1	1	30	-1	1	1
33	-3	9	26	-5	25	-15
40	4	16	28	-3	9	-12
32	-4	16	31	0	0	0
36	0	0	36	5	25	0
33	-3	9	35	4	16	-12
		216			162	97

$$\bar{X} = \frac{360}{10} = 36$$

$$\bar{Y} = \frac{310}{10} = 31$$

$$r = \frac{\sum X'Y'}{\sqrt{\sum X'^2} \sqrt{\sum Y'^2}} = \frac{97}{\sqrt{216} \sqrt{162}} = 0.5186$$

Solⁿ

$$p_1 = P(\text{item produced by A}) = 0.3$$

$$p_2 = P(\text{B}) = 0.5$$

$$p_3 = P(\text{C}) = 0.2$$

Let D be event that item is defective

$$p_1' = P(D|A) = 0.8 \quad p_2' = P(D|B) = 0.5 \quad p_3' = P(D|C) = 0.1$$

Bayes Th

$$P(A|D) = \frac{p_1 p_1'}{p_1 p_1' + p_2 p_2' + p_3 p_3'} = \frac{0.3 \times 0.8}{0.3 \times 0.8 + 0.5 \times 0.5 + 0.2 \times 0.1} = \frac{0.24}{0.57} = 0.42$$

Q3. c.

$$p = \frac{0.01}{100} = 0.0001 \quad n = 1000$$

$$d = np = 0.1$$

$$P(X=x) = \frac{e^{-d} (d)^x}{x!}$$

$$P(X \leq 2) = P(X=0) + P(X=1) + P(X=2)$$

$$= e^{-1} \left[\frac{(1)^0}{1} + \frac{(1)^1}{1} + \frac{(1)^2}{2} \right] = 0.9998$$

d.

X	578	572	570	568	572	570	570	572	596
X	d = X - 580	d ²							
578	-2	4	$\bar{X} = A + \frac{\sum di}{n}$						
572	-8	64							
570	-10	100	$s^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum di^2 - \left(\frac{\sum di}{n} \right)^2$						
568	-12	144							
572	-8	64	$= 68.16$						
570	-10	100							
570	-10	100							
572	-8	64							
596	16	256							
584	4	16							
	<u>-48</u>	<u>864</u>							

$$H_0: \mu = 577 \text{ kg} \quad H_1: \mu \neq 577 \text{ kg} \text{ two-tailed test}$$

$$\alpha = \text{Los} = 5\%$$

$$\text{Test Statistic } |t| = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{s / \sqrt{n-1}} = -0.65$$

$$\text{Table } t_{\alpha/2} \text{ at } 5\% \text{ Los} = 2.25$$

$$\therefore |t| < \text{Table } t$$

Accept H_0 at $\alpha\%$ Los.

The mean breaking strength of wire is 577 kg.

e) Rewrite LPP

$$\text{Min Max } Z^* = -Z = -6x_1 - x_2$$

$$\text{Subject to } -2x_1 - x_2 \leq -3$$

$$-x_1 + x_2 \leq 0$$

Adding slack variable to the constraints

$$\text{Max } Z^* = -Z = -6x_1 - x_2$$

$$\text{s.t. } -2x_1 - x_2 + s_1 = -3$$

		G					Outgoing var min Δ_j/a_{ij}
C_B	Basic var B	r.h.s b_i	x_1	x_2	Δ_1	Δ_2	
$\leftarrow 0$	x_1	-3	-2	-1	1	0	min $\left\{ \frac{-6}{-2}, \frac{-1}{-1} \right\}$ $\frac{3}{1}, 1$
	x_2	0	-1	1	0	1	

$$Z_j = C_B x_j$$

$$\Delta_j = G - Z_j$$

-1	x_2	3	2	1	-1	0	min $\left\{ \frac{-4}{-3} \right\}$
$R_2 - R_1(1)$	0	x_2	-3	-3	0	1	

$$Z_j = C_B x_j$$

$$\Delta_j = G - Z_j$$

-2	-1	1	0
-4 $\uparrow$	0	-1	0

$R_1 - 2R_2(1)$	-1	x_2	1	0	1	-4/3	2/3
$-R_2/3$	-6	x_1	1	1	0	-1/3	-4/3

$$Z_j = C_B x_j \quad -6 \quad -1 \quad 7/3 \quad 4/3$$

$$\Delta_j = G - Z_j \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad -7/3 \quad -4/3$$

∴ all $\Delta_j \leq 0$ & $b_i \geq 0 \forall i$

Provides optimal solⁿ for $x_1 = 1$, $x_2 = 1$.

$$\text{Min } Z = 6 + 1 = 7.$$